Magistic Comments

OUR VIEW for national curity in a troubled would inteks. It is in the product of chemics as well as private thoughts of allies. We must spot the seeds of trouble before they sprout to catastrophe. To fail is to risk described in the seeds of the struction.

yet the idea of a superstry archey as an essential part of our government strucone is repugnant to most Americans. The existence of a shadowy apparatus that may involve the country to the bond of undeclared war, yet is accountable to no one save the President and can hide its budget even from Concress which appropriates the aroney, seems to run contrary to our democracy.

THIS IS the dilemnia tackled by Andrew Tully, a highly-regarded Washington newspaperman. His book, "CIA, The Inside Story," was centroversial before it was off the presses. Within hours of publication its accuracy was questioned by former CIA Director Allen W. Dulles who the cept nemical (1994) is one to vicinity) is one to vicinity and the care of the ping with his care (along with such as white House Press Secretary Pierre Salinger and Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield) the former CIA boss could scarely publicly endorse the finished product. In the nature of spying CIA can neither boast of victory nor admit ertor. Its response to praise or blame must invariably be: No comment.

Mr. Tully goes to the heart of the matter when he questions whether such an agency, being secret and not publicly accountable, can separate its fact-gathering function from policy making, and involve the national interest without being subject to traditional checks and balances.

HE ASSERTS that CIA has on occasion involved the nation. He contends that in the recent controversial case of the recent controversial case of the recent sold revolt in Algeria, "there is every reason to believe that some irresponsible CIA men went too far." He alleges other instances of faulty judgment in world trouble spots:

CIA has a noble end

"CIA has a noble end in view—the defeat of the international Communist conspiracy." he says. "But with such a goal, the nagging question has always been whether the CIA could keep its intelligence impartial, that is, whether it could restrain itself from reporting dangerous conclusions merely because these conclusions coincided with the urgent necessity of battling communism."

The author's field is broad, covering CIA activity during crises in Japan, Korea, Turkey, the new Africa, Latin America and even, in one colorful episode, in the Kremilin itself. The Cuban chapter throws no new light on this incredible, oft-told story. Though CIA there again showed overzealousness and errors of judgment, the ultimate failure was not of its fashioning, Mr. Tully declares.

The fact remains, says the

to histor of the one of the consumer seems of the consumer seems of the consumer at the consumer of the considerable in firmity in some cases — is the human element that goes into the evaluation."

WHAT OF the future? CIA, or something like it will be part of our apparatus of survival for the foreseeable future. Under a new director its controls have been tightened and "another Cuba presumably cannot happen."

Our stance toward Cuba is hotly debated. Some in Washington argue for use of our military might, for "the world respects power." Others, notably Sen. Fulbright, the Foreign Relations Committee chairman, hold that "a nation dedicated to morality and the rule of law cannot dispatch its soldiers. to overthrow a foreign government but may — in accordance with general international practice — give secret help to such an attempt by nationalists of the country in question."

The CIA's role, regardless of who wins this argument,